

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/184,186 | 11/02/1998 | ROBERT MARC CLEMENT | 2170.00013 | 3992 | |
| 75 | 590 05/24/2002 | | | | |
| DANIEL BLISS BLISS MCGLYNN 2075 W BIG BEAVER ROAD SUITE 600 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA | | |
| TROY, MI 48084 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1725 | 18 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/24/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application No. | Ap |
|-----------------|----|

09/184,186

Applicant(s)

1725



| A -tion Summary | Examiner Five | 1725 | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | M. Alexandra Elve | nondence addre | ess ·· | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE | - 3 MONTH | H(S) FROM | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | T TO EXPIRE | any ISI MON | rHS from the | | | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). | In no event, however, may a reply be timely file | d after SIX (6) MON | | | | | |
| THE MAILING By available under the provisions of 37 or 1 | -isimum of thirty (30) days will | De considered | munication. | | | | |
| A SHORTENED DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with the period for reply will above, the maximum statutory period will apply to period for reply will, by statute, cause to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, causely repely repelyed by the Office later than three months after the mailing date. | ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the me | J.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| mailing date of this continuous. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will ap If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will, by statute, cau Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cau Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cau Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date Any reply received by the Office later than 1.704(b). | se the application to become of this communication, even if timely filed, may | Legnes arry | | | | | |
| Failure to reply within the set of extended the months after the maining data. | • | | _•. | | | | |
| earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFN 1.75 V. | 3/12/02 | | | | | | |
| - Failure to reply within the set of the se | final | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to common 2b) This | action is non-final. | osecution as to | the merits is | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. | nce except for formal matters, property 1935 C.D. 11; 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| application is in condition | x parte Quayle, 1500 5 | | Oniteation | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | 50 | is/are withdray | wn from consideration. | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) | | ic/are allo | wed. | | | | |
| 1 | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) | | is/are ou | ected to | | | | |
| | are subject to | restriction and | /or election road | | | | |
| // Claimor | | | | | | | |
| 8) Li Claims | | | = minor | | | | |
| Application rapers | niner. | objected to by | the Examination | | | | |
| Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that any objection 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | is/are a) accopted | nce. See 37 CF | R 1.85(a). | | | | |
| 10) The drawing of request that any objection | to the drawing(s) bo list a) ap | proved b)□ di | sapproved by the | | | | |
| Applicatit that the | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required. | I in reply to this Office down | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings and the second of the seco | the Examiner. | | , | | | | |
| 12) The oath or decidation to | ader 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or | · (f). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ made of a claim for | foreign priority under 00 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgement cl None of: | hevicen - | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu | ments have been received in Apr | olication No | · . | | | | |
| | | eceived in this | National Stage | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the | e priority documents have been a priority documents have been to be a priority documents. | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified on the Internal application from the Internal application from the Internal form | e priority documents have been to attional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The a list of the certified copies not be a list of the certified copies. | eceiveu. | | | | | |
| is a detailed Utilico as | ander 35 U.S | , 0 | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the phonty 3. Copies of the certified copies of the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2d). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *See the attached detailed Office action for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| *See the attached detailed 5 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| 151 Acknowledgement is made of a claim | 4) Interview Summary (| DTO.413) Paper No(s | I | | | | |
| | 4) Interview Summary (I | tent Application (PT) | y-152) | | | | |
| | 5) Notice of Informal Pa | Hour Change | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (170 obtaining Review (PTO-948 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) Paper Not | s)6) | | No. 18 | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Notice 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Notice 4) Information Disclosure Statement | Action Summary | | Part of Paper No. 18 | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/184,186

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. Claims 1-7, 10-32 & 48 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-10, 13-18, 21-25, 30-34, 38-41 & 45-46 of copending Application No. 09/346,375. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made by the having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - 3. Claims 1-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burkart et al. (CN Pat. 2,073,092) in view of Gofuku et al. (US Pat. 5,269,868).

Burkart et al. discloses a method and apparatus for releasing the adhesive joints of glazing screens, that is, releasing a windshield from an automobile frame. The adhesive joint includes a

Application/Control Number: 09/184,186

Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

heatable separating member which in one embodiment is one of two adhesive beads. Upon heating by electrical energy or radiation (high frequency, microwave or infrared), the heatable separating member is destroyed at a temperature at which the other adhesive bead is not damaged. Burkart et al. does not disclose a single adhesive bead.

Gofuku et al. discloses the separation of adhesive bonded substrates. Separation entails irradiation of the adhesive using an energy beam which is transmitted through one of the substrates and is absorbed into the adhesive. In particular, the separating glass substrates are liquid crystal devices but could be applied to other substrates. The energy beam is an ultraviolet laser, but other lasers and other energy beams may be used.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the Burkart et al. separation to one adhesive bead, as taught by Gofuku et al. because it is merely a variation on a substrate adhesive structure. Additionally, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use Gofuku et al. for vehicle windows because it is not limited to substrates which are attached by a Burkart et al. type adhesive, that is, a heatable separating member, but rather applicable to substrates which are attached by a single homogeneous adhesive bead. These are used almost exclusively in the automobile industry (applicant's specification). The teaching by Gofuku that his method is applicable to bonded substrates other than liquid crystal displays and to many adhesives used by Burkart et al. to bond vehicle windows, would have provided one of ordinary skill in the art with a reasonable expectation of success in applying the Gofuku process to vehicle windows.

Art Unit: 1725

Response to Appeal Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments are moot in view of the new rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is (703) 308-0092. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn, can be reached on (703) 308-3318. The fax number for non-after finals is 703-872-9310 and for after finals is 703-872-9311.

Any inquiry of general nature to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

May 19, 2002.

M. ALEXANDRA ELVE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4